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Context

As a result of UCAR, PACUR and NSF discussions to streamline NCAR’s non-NSF proposal review process, a new process was established which more closely adheres to the NSF cooperative agreement; thus,

– It may be appropriate for NCAR personnel and/or facilities to be used for other projects that contribute to the overall advancement of the atmospheric and related sciences.

– NSF and UCAR/NCAR are concerned about unfair advantages that the use of NSF-funded NCAR staff and facilities may have on the ability of the university community and others to obtain funding.

– UCAR shall involve the university community members in the retrospective review of non-NSF funded proposals in excess of $300,000 and will notify NSF of the results of this review.

– UCAR shall provide the review criteria and list of Panel members to the NSF Program Officer for comment prior to the review.

– NSF will review the Panel report and address any significant issues with UCAR/NCAR. NSF will monitor NCAR’s obligations involving the use of NCAR personnel and/or facilities for non-NSF projects.
PRP Members
(Appointed by the UCAR President, NCAR Director & PACUR Chair)

• Bob Street, Stanford University – Chair
• Dave Parsons, University of Oklahoma
• Natalie Mahowald, Cornell University
• Vernon Morris, Howard University
• Albert Semtner, Naval Postgraduate School
• Jie Zhang, George Mason University
Process for the Review of NCAR’s Non-NSF Proposals

- Last year UCAR, NCAR and PACUR with the approval of NSF moved the review of these proposals out of PACUR and formed this separate review panel.

- The panel meets once a year to review the Non-NSF proposals from the previous fiscal year.

- The panel can review all non-NSF proposals over $300,000 and has access to the full proposals.

- The panel meets for a day at UCAR in Boulder to discuss the proposals and prepares a written report that is submitted to UCAR and NCAR. UCAR then submits the report to NSF.

- The panel chair reports back to PACUR and gives the annual report to the Members.
UNFAIR ADVANTAGE IS:

• Use of NSF funded facilities over and above what a typical university researcher has access to.

• Co-sponsorship or leveraging of NSF base funded staff over and above what a university researcher can leverage.

UNFAIR ADVANTAGE IS NOT:

• Competition with the community for sponsor funding.

• A non-collaborative proposal.

• The use of UCAR/NCAR buildings, services and scientific facilities that are equivalent to what is typically available to a university researcher, such as office space, research laboratories, and support staff. (If NCAR is using facility or staff time not available to a university researcher, but the proposal provides a clear benefit to a university partner, the university community or society at large, the proposal would not necessarily be unfair.)
Report on FY 14 Proposals

• The PRP was given access to all 94 proposals that met the criteria for review. As in the past, the Panel Chair randomly selected a subset of the proposals to be reviewed (~1/3 of the total). Special attention was paid to proposals with co-sponsorships and expected significant use of High Performance Computing core hours.

• The PRP met in Boulder on August 13 to discuss the proposals and to write the Panel’s report.

• At the conclusion of the review, the PRP found no proposals that could be deemed unfair competition for the Universities.

• The Panel made recommendations (1) to improve/clarify the process and the information given to the Panel and (2) to make specific the co-sponsorship criteria.

• The review of the FY15 proposals will take place in January or February 2016